

PROFESSIONAL
ARCHAEOLOGISTS OF
NEW
YORK
CITY



NEWSLETTER NO. 18
 MARCH 1984

CONTENTS

	Page
1. MINUTES of the January Membership Meeting	2
2. Survey of Collections Without a Permanent Repository	4
3. Proposed Nominations to the State Register of Historic Places	5
4. Pending Nominations of New York Sites to the National Register of Historic Places	5
5. New York's Draft Procedures for the Certification of Local Governments and for the Transfer of Funds to Qualified Local Governments	6
6. Middle Atlantic Archaeological Conference 1984 Program	23
7. Council for Northeast Historical Archaeology Call for Papers	27
8. Announcement of PANYC's 1984 Symposium on the Archaeology of New York City	28

Next PANYC Meeting
 Wednesday, 28 March 1984, 7:30 PM
 New York University
 25 Waverly Place
 Room 213

Material for inclusion in the PANYC Newsletter should be sent to Sydne B. Marshall, EnviroSphere Company, 2 World Trade Center, New York, New York 10048.

MINUTES

Klein called the meeting to order at 7:40.

Secretary's Report The minutes from the November meeting were accepted.

Treasurer's Report Geismar reported that there is \$804.28 in PANyc's account, and that there are 30 or 31 paid members and 8 paid newsletter subscribers.

President's Report Klein reported that he had received a request from Ben DeBose that PANyc become an honorary sponsor of the Canarsie Historical Society. The Executive Board voted against this, as it is not PANyc's policy to sponsor groups, but stressed that PANyc will be happy to cooperate with the society. Baugher reported that the Society had listed the LPC as a sponsor on its request that the commission become a sponsor.

Klein also reported that on October 28, the US Attorney General's Office issued an advisory stating that the Advisory Council's procedures (36 CFR 800) go beyond its statutory authority. The National Trust is spearheading the fight (which might consist of law suits and/or new legislation) against this advisory. It was stressed that the Advisory Council's procedures are still in effect, in spite of this advisory.

Committee Reports

Newsletter Committee Marshall reported that 77 copies of the newsletter had been sent out: 36 to members, 11 to subscribers, and 30 as complimentary copies. She also appealed to the membership for contributions to the newsletter.

Action Committee No report was made by this committee.

Research and Planning Committee Rubinson reported that the subcommittee on curation had met and agreed that any curation of archaeological collections would occur in the context of existing institutions. The subcommittee is making a survey of collections that do not have homes, and Rubinson passed out forms to the membership to get information on these collections.

Legislation Committee Salwen reported that more support is needed for the proposed Shipwreck Bill (HR 3194; S 1504), as the public response has been 2 to 1 against the bill.

Public Program Committee Marshall reported that the committee had met, and that the program will be held from 1 to 4 p.m. on 12 May at the Museum of the City of New York. The program's theme is the archaeological contribution to the public's appreciation of the past, and flyers advertising the program will be ready for distribution soon.

State Plan Committee Baugher reported that the reviews of the State Plan for 19th century New York City had not yet been received.

Looting Committee No report was made by this committee.

Old Business

Membership amendment to the by-laws. After a discussion, the vote on the proposed new amendment to the by-laws on PANYC's membership requirements was held. The membership voted unanimously to adopt the new amendment.

New Business

Klein announced that the Executive Board had directed the President to write a letter to the Chairman of the LPC to arrange to meet with him to discuss matters of mutual interest.

Nomination Committee Klein appointed the committee to nominate officers and Board members for the up-coming PANYC elections. The committee consists of: Klein (chair), Herbert, Rothschild, and Salwen.

Rutsch proposed that a workshop on the use of borings in testing archaeological sites be sponsored by PANYC. Klein appointed a committee to plan such a workshop. The committee members are: Rutsch (chair), Pickman, and Vetter.

Membership The members voted to accept the application of Annette Silver for PANYC membership.

Respectfully submitted,

Diana Rockman
Diana Rockman
PANYC Secretary

*Edward - the Cedar Creek Site.
work on deepening / ...
the ... N.Y. area. ...
N.Y.C.L.P.C.*

SURVEY OF COLLECTIONS WITHOUT A PERMANENT REPOSITORY
(compiled by PANYC Research and Planning Committee)

ARCHAEOLOGICAL COLLECTION SURVEY FORM

Site:

Size of collection in ft³:

Present location:

When must leave present location?:

Nature of materials:

Volume Exhibit Quality

Vol. not Exhibit

Ceramics

Perishable Organic

Faunal and other organic

Metal

Other (describe)

Anything in need of immediate conservation?:

Status of records:

objects catalogued?

field notes - location

field notes- collated?

set of catalogue cards, field notes available for permanent location?

Status of Report:

People involved:

Excavators

Laboratory people

Legal ownership:

of materials

of report

Return Completed Forms To: Karen Rubinson or Fred Winter, Institute for Research and History, 432 Park Ave. South, N.Y., N.Y. 10016

For further information contact: Dr. James P. Otis, Director, Standards and Procedures Review Unit, Office of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities, 44 Holland Ave., Albany, NY 12229, (518) 474-1830

PUBLIC NOTICE

Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation

PURSUANT to section 14.07 of the Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law, the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation hereby gives notice of the following:

In accordance with subdivision (c) of section 427.4 of Title 9 NYCRR notice is hereby given that the State Board for Historic Preservation acting through the Committee on the Registers will be considering nomination proposals for listing of properties on the State Register of Historic Places at a meeting to be held at 8:30 a.m., March 30, 1984, on the 20th floor of Bldg. 1, Empire State Plaza, Albany.

1. South Swan Street Row, Albany, Albany Co.
2. Public Square Historic District, Watertown, Jefferson Co.
3. Fort Greene Historic District Boundary Expansion, Brooklyn, Kings Co.
4. Barney's Block, Schenectady, Schenectady Co.
5. New York Hospital, Westchester Division, White Plains, Westchester Co.

Comments may be submitted to Commissioner Lehman of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, attention Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Bldg. 1, Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12238, no later than March 29, 1984, or may be submitted in person at the meeting by contacting Larry Gobrecht at the same address no later than March 29, 1984.

For further information contact: Larry Gobrecht, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Bldg. 1, Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12238, (518) 474-0479

Federal Register / Vol. 49, No. 11 / Tuesday, January 17, 1984 / Notices

National Park Service

**National Register of Historic Places;
Notification of Pending Nominations**

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before January 8, 1984. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20243. Written comments should be submitted by February 1, 1984.

Carol D. Skoll,

Chief of Registration, National Register.

NEW YORK

New York County

New York City, *St. Cecilia's Church and Convent*, 112-120 E. 160th St.

Queens County

New York City, *Lent Homestead and Cemetery*, 78-03 19th Rd.

Richmond County

New York City, *Poillon-Seguine-Britton House*, 360 Great Kills Rd

Suffolk County

Amagansett, *Pleasants House*, NY 27
Orient, *Terry-Mulford House*, NY 25



STATE OF NEW YORK
PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION
ALBANY

ORIN LEHMAN
COMMISSIONER

February 6, 1984

Dear Sir or Madam:

Under the National Historic Preservation Act Amendments enacted by Congress in 1980, the states were directed to provide a mechanism for the certification of local governments to carry out the purposes of the act and to develop procedures for the transfer of a portion of Historic Preservation Fund grants received by states to such governments.

Beginning in federal fiscal year 1983, 10% of each state's Historic Preservation Fund allocation has been set aside for transfer to certified local governments to undertake projects involving the identification, evaluation and protection of historic properties. New York State has \$128,162 from fiscal years 1983 and 1984 available for such projects statewide. Local governments must become certified by the state for participation in historic preservation programs prior to applying for funds. As soon as New York State's procedures have been approved by the Secretary of the Interior, we will begin soliciting certification and funding applications from interested local governments. You will be notified when this occurs.

The enclosed documents represent New York State's draft procedures for the certification of local governments and for the transfer of funds to qualified local governments. They describe in detail:

- the process by which local governments can become certified;
- the requirements for certification;
- the responsibilities that will be delegated to certified local governments;
- the process by which certified local governments can apply for funds;
- a list of eligible activities and criteria for selection of subgrantees.


These procedures were developed under the guidance of the National Park Service and they incorporate the preservation priorities of the New York State Historic Preservation Office. As part of the review and approval process, we are submitting the draft procedures to all interested parties for review and comment. Comments must be received by March 7, 1984 in order to be taken into consideration in our final submission.

As soon as we have evaluated comments and revised the draft as appropriate, we will submit our final procedures to the Secretary of the Interior for approval. Copies of all comments received will be forwarded to the National Park Service with our submission. The procedures will be reviewed within ninety days of submission.

In addition to the draft procedures, we have also enclosed copies of the National Historic Preservation Act Amendments and 36 CFR part 61, which includes the federal regulations for the certification program. Please feel free to call Kathleen LaFrank at (518) 474-0479 during the comment period if you have any questions about this material. Written comments should be filed with Ms. LaFrank at New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, the Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller Empire State Plaza, Agency Building #1, 13th Floor, Albany, N.Y. 12238.

I look forward to receiving your comments and we thank you for your interest in New York State's historic preservation program.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ann L. Swan". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "A".



ORIN LEHMAN
COMMISSIONER

STATE OF NEW YORK
PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION
ALBANY

PROCEDURES FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

SECTION 1 Purpose and Authority

2 Definitions

3 Requirements for certified local governments

4 Process for certifying local governments

5 Process for monitoring certified local governments

6 Process for revoking certification of local governments

7 Participation in the National Register nomination process

8 Transfer of historic preservation funds to certified
local governments

SECTION 1: PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY

Since 1966, when Congress established a preservation program for the United States, the national historic preservation program has operated as a decentralized partnership between the federal government and the states. The federal government established a program of identification, evaluation, and protection of historic properties, which is primarily carried out by the states. The success of that relationship prompted Congress to expand the partnership to provide for participation by local governments. The National Historic Preservation Act Amendments of 1980 (P.L. 96-515) contain the authorization for a federal-state-local preservation partnership. The federal law directs the Secretary of the Interior to certify local governments, upon application by municipalities and upon the recommendation of the SHPO, to participate in this partnership and

specifies several requirements which local governments must meet. The purpose of these procedures is to implement that partnership in New York State.

SECTION 2: DEFINITIONS

- a) The following definitions will apply throughout:
 - 1) "local government" means a city, county, village, township, municipality, or any other general purpose political subdivision of the state.
 - 2) "historic preservation review commission" or "commission" means a board, council, commission, or similar body established by local historic preservation legislation.
 - 3) "certified local government" (CLG) means any local government certified as meeting the requirements set forth in this part.
 - 4) "State Historic Preservation Officer" (SHPO) means Commissioner of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.
 - 5) "Secretary" means the United States Secretary of the Interior.

SECTION 3: REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- a) The local government shall enforce appropriate state and local legislation for protection of historic properties. There shall be a local ordinance which substantially achieves the purpose of preserving and rehabilitating properties in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Preservation Projects. The local ordinance must include:

- 1) a statement of purpose;
 - 2) provisions for the establishment of an historic preservation review commission and a grant of powers to it;
 - 3) criteria for designation of historically significant objects, sites, structures or districts worthy of preservation;
 - 4) a process for the designation of historically significant objects, sites, structures or districts worthy of preservation;
 - 5) definition of actions that require review by the historic preservation review commission;
 - 6) standards and criteria for review of actions within the jurisdiction of the historic preservation review commission;
 - 7) procedures for appeal and other methods to ensure due process.
- b) The local government shall have established an adequate and qualified historic preservation review commission.
- 1) The commission shall have no fewer than five members.
 - 2) Membership Qualifications:
 - a) Commission members shall be appointed from among the disciplines of history, architectural history, architecture, historic architecture, planning, archeology, historic preservation or a closely related field.
 - b) When a commission reviews and comments on proposals requiring evaluation by a professional in a discipline that is not represented on the commission, the commission shall obtain expertise in that area before rendering its decision
 - 3) Terms of office shall be staggered. Local appointing authority shall act to fill vacancies within 60 days.

- 4) Commission meetings shall be held at regular intervals but in no case less than four times each year.
 - 5) The chief elected official of a certified local government shall transmit an annual report of the actions and preservation activities of the commission to the SHPO. Such reports shall include, at a minimum, the number of cases reviewed, new designations made, progress on survey activities, and revised resumes for commission members.
- c) The local government shall establish a system for the survey and inventory of historic properties within its jurisdiction.
- 1) The local government shall initiate or continue the survey and inventory according to accepted federal and state standards. The local government shall give priority to completing a building-by-building inventory within each locally designated historic district.
 - 2) All inventory material shall be maintained securely and shall be accessible to the public.
 - 3) All inventory material shall be updated annually to reflect new historical information or significant changes in the condition or status of each inventoried property.
- d) The local government shall provide for adequate public participation in the local historic preservation program, including the process of recommending properties to the SHPO for nomination to the State and National Registers of Historic Places.
- 1) All meetings shall comply with the requirements of the New York State Open Meetings Law, as amended.
 - 2) Detailed minutes of all commission proceedings shall be kept on file and be accessible to the general public and to the SHPO.

overkill
yet nothing
if not
sub surface
resource
considered

- e) the local government shall satisfactorily perform the responsibilities in Section 3a-d and such other actions as may be requested by the SHPO.

SECTION 4: PROCESS FOR CERTIFYING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- a) The chief elected official of the local government shall request certification from the SHPO. The request for certification shall include the following:
 - 1) a written assurance by the chief elected official that the local government fulfills the requirements of Section 3, above
 - 2) a copy of the local historic preservation ordinance and any policies, procedures, and standards that may have been adopted by the municipality, as promulgated by the commission
 - 3) a list of properties and areas designated under the local ordinance descriptions and statements of the past order of significance of the properties; and maps showing their locations
 - 4) resumes of each member of the historic preservation commission includes, where appropriate, additional information regarding members' expertise in fields related to historic preservation
 - 5) a copy of the local historic preservation plan, if available, or a statement describing the local preservation program, including information on survey, designation, and protection activities.
- b) The SHPO shall respond to the chief elected official within

sixty(60) days of receipt of an adequately documented written request for certification.

- c) If the SHPO determines that the local government fulfills the requirements for certification, the SHPO will forward his/her decision to the United States Secretary of the Interior. The Secretary is provided a 15-day comment period. If the Secretary doesn't take exception to the local government's request, the local government shall be regarded as certified by the Secretary. The SHPO will notify the locality regarding the certification request thirty(30) days after the Secretary's comment period has expired.

SECTION 5: PROCESS FOR MONITORING CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- a) The SHPO shall conduct periodic review and monitoring of certified local government programs to ensure that each government is fulfilling its responsibilities as defined in these procedures.
- b) The SHPO shall review the annual reports submitted by the local governments and other documents as necessary.
- c) The CLG shall make all relevant records and materials available to the SHPO upon request.

SECTION 6: PROCESS FOR REVOKING CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- a) If the SHPO determines that the certified local government is deficient in fulfilling its responsibilities, the SHPO shall document that determination and recommend to the certified local government steps which may be taken to remove the deficiency.
- b) The CLG shall have no less than thirty(30) days nor more than

one hundred twenty (120) days to implement improvements prescribed by the SHPO.

- c) If the SHPO determines that sufficient improvement has not occurred, the SHPO may recommend the revocation of the certification of the local government to the Secretary, citing specific reasons for the recommendation.

SECTION 7: CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT PARTICIPATION IN THE NOMINATION PROCESS FOR THE STATE AND NATIONAL REGISTERS OF HISTORIC PLACES

- a) If any State or National Register nomination proposal received by the SHPO lies within the jurisdiction of a CLG, the SHPO shall transmit a copy of the fully documented nomination materials to the local historic preservation review commission and the chief elected official for review and comment.
- b) The commission, after reasonable opportunity for public comment, shall prepare a report as to whether or not such property, in its opinion, meets the criteria of the State and National Registers. Within sixty (60) days of notice from the State Historic Preservation Officer, the chief local elected official shall transmit the report of the commission and his recommendation to the State Historic Preservation Officer. If an historic district is proposed, the CLG shall be responsible for conducting public information meetings at a time and place agreeable to the SHPO.
- c) In the event that the historic preservation review commission and the chief elected official agree that the proposed nomination does not meet the criteria for listing on the State and National Registers of Historic Places, the chief elected official will return the nomination materials along with the

commission's report and his opinion to the SHPO who shall take no further action unless, within thirty(30) days of receipt by the SHPO, an appeal is filed. If such an appeal is filed, the SHPO shall place the nomination before the Committee on the Registers at the earliest possible meeting.

- d) Nominations developed and sponsored by CLG's shall be given priority for review by the Committee on the Registers of the New York State Board for Historic Preservation, provided they are developed in consultation with the SHPO and based upon a comprehensive local historic resources survey.



STATE OF NEW YORK
PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION
ALBANY

ORIN LEHMAN
COMMISSIONER

PROCEDURES FOR THE TRANSFER OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUNDS TO CERTIFIED
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Beginning in federal fiscal year 1983, 10% of the state's annual appropriation from the national Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) has been reserved for funding projects that are sponsored by certified local governments. If in any year the total federal appropriation to all states from the HPF exceeds \$65,000,000, then one-half of the excess, over \$65 million, shall be reserved for transfer to CLG's, according to procedures to be provided by the Secretary.

All CLG's shall be eligible to apply for funds from the 10 percent CLG share of the state's total annual HPF grant award. The state is not required to award funds to all CLG's that apply.

CLG's receiving grants from the 10% share shall be considered subgrantees of the state. Transferred money shall not be applied as matching share for any other federal grant. The state will require all local governments receiving a portion of the 10% share to satisfy the following minimum requirements:

1. Maintain adequate financial management systems. Local financial management systems shall be in accordance with the standards specified in OMB Circular A-102, Attachment G, "Standards for Grantee Financial Management Systems." Local financial management systems shall be auditable in accordance with the General Accounting Office's Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions. The state will be responsible, through financial audit, for the

proper accounting of CLG 10 percent share fund in accordance with OMB Circular A-102, Attachment P, "Audit Requirements."

Periodic state evaluations of CLG performance will include an assessment of fiscal management.

2. Adhere to all requirements of the Historic Preservation Fund Grants Management Manual. The HPF Grants Management Manual sets forth administrative procedures and policies for HPF grants awarded by the Secretary. It serves as a basic reference for the state management of HPF grants. Indirect costs may be charged as part of the CLG grant only if the CLG subgrantee meets the requirements of Chapter 12 of the Grants Manual. Unless the CLG has a current indirect cost rate approved by the cognizant federal agency, only direct costs may be charged. (For CLG's that receive government funds, the Grants Management Manual will be made available for inspection upon request.

Adhere to any requirements mandated by Congress regarding the use of such funds. The state will advise CLG's of any directives contained in annual appropriations legislation or congressional reports regarding the use of grants to CLG's.

The requirements listed above will be used by the state as minimum requirements for local governments receiving funds. The state may require specific uses of funds as long as such requirements are consistent with the state comprehensive historic preservation planning process and are eligible for HPF assistance.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF SUBGRANTEES

In general, eligible activities will include any project which furthers the goals of identification, evaluation, nomination and protection of a community's cultural resources. They include survey,

nomination of properties to the State and National Registers, public education programs, planning studies, research, and the development of a comprehensive historic preservation plan. Priority will be given to projects designed to complete local surveys of historic properties and designation of such properties to the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

Applicants are advised that the funding amount to be requested must be sufficient to complete a specific project or phase thereof. The amount awarded to any applicant must be sufficient to produce a specific impact. While no lower limit is prescribed by these procedures, the state will ensure that the funds awarded are sufficient to generate measurable results.

The state will make every reasonable effort to distribute funds to the maximum number of CLG's and will seek to ensure a reasonable distribution between urban and rural areas.

The state will make available to the public, upon request, the rationale for the selection of applicants and the grant amounts awarded.

APPLICATION PROCEDURE

All applications will be evaluated competitively and funds will be awarded on a 50 percent matching basis.

Each certified local government must submit a project application and total budget by the annual deadline. Application forms which include the annual New York State Historic Preservation Office priorities for funding and selection criteria for 10 percent CLG share funds will be made available in October of each year. A sample grant application is attached.

APPLICATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND
ASSISTANCE TO CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Part I THE PROGRAM: The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), who is the Commissioner of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, is required under the National Historic Preservation Act Amendments of 1980 to reserve 10% of New York's annual federal Historic Preservation Fund apportionment to assist "certified local governments" (CLG's) in carrying out programs designed to identify, evaluate, register and protect historic properties within this state. The program for certifying local governments and awarding funds is administered by the Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau. During calendar year 1984, the SHPO will make available \$128,162 for up to 50% funding for eligible projects which are consistent with the goals of the state historic preservation planning program. Funds will be awarded on a competitive basis.

ELIGIBLE PROGRAM ACTIVITIES: The New York State historic preservation planning program addresses the identification, evaluation, registration and protection of historic resources. In general, any project which advances these goals is eligible for funding assistance: eligible projects include survey, nomination of properties to the State and National Registers, public education programs, planning studies, research, and development of local historic preservation plans. Priority will be given to projects designed to complete local surveys of historic properties and designation of such properties to the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

FUNDING AMOUNT AND METHOD OF PAYMENT: The amount requested must be matched by an equal share from the CLG. Applicants should be aware that 10% CLG share funds may not be used as a match to secure other federal funds nor may they be used for capital projects

Ten percent CLG funds will be awarded to CLG's on a reimbursement basis. The grant is payable to the recipient upon approval of completed work and receipt of financial documentation required for reimbursement. This means that for every dollar spent, the recipient will be reimbursed fifty cents for all allowable costs up to the amount of the grant.

DURATION OF GRANT: Generally, projects must be completed and reimbursement made by the end of federal fiscal year in which the funds were appropriated, unless otherwise provided.

APPLICATION PROCEDURES: The chief elected official of any CLG must submit an application to the SHPO including a total budget estimate which details projects proposed for funding.

Applicants must fully complete and return Part II, Request for Historic Preservation Fund Assistance to Certified Local Governments, along with the completed certification of matching funds form to the SHPO by deadlines to be announced annually. Incomplete applications will be returned.

SELECTION: All applications will be reviewed and evaluated by SHPO. The SHPO will determine the final disbursement of funds to CLG's.

In selecting projects for funding, priority will be given to those which:

- A. Are designed to complete local surveys, evaluation and/or designation of historic properties for listing on the State and National Registers of Historic Places;
- B. Demonstrate broad community support or endorsement, particularly support of local planning agencies;
- C. Show careful project planning and an appropriate methodology;
- D. Demonstrate objectives which can be achieved within the prepared budget and time allocated while meeting established standards;
- E. Involve staff and/or consultants who are professionally qualified to achieve the project goals;

F. Use matching funds which are in the form of cash rather than services, overhead or other indirect costs;

G. Will not duplicate the work of others.

Consideration will also be given to equitable geographical distribution between rural and urban areas.

NOTIFICATION: All applicants will receive written notification of approval or rejection from the SHPO.

Part II

REQUEST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND ASSISTANCE TO CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Date of Request _____

1. Applicant/Political Subdivision _____

2. Certified Local Government yes no
If yes, date of SHPO Letter of Certification _____

3. Summary of Program Activities Proposed for Funding (include pertinent information about the nature of the project, what historic preservation issue will be addressed, how work will be accomplished, what annual state funding priorities are met, etc. - attach additional sheets)

4. List Project Staff and/or Consultants, indicating professional credentials (enclose resumes if appropriate)

5. Estimated Total Project Cost _____
(Attach detailed, comprehensive itemized budget.)

6. Amount Requested from SHPO _____

7. Local Matching Funds:

<u>Sources</u>	<u>Amount</u>
----------------	---------------

Is Match Currently Available? _____ If not, when? (be specific) _____
(sign and return enclosed certification of matching funds form with this application)

8. Proposal Submitted by:

Chief Elected Official (name, title, signature): _____

Contact Person if different from above _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Return to: NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Agency Building I, 13th Floor
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12238

Middle Atlantic Archaeological Conference

April 13, 14 & 15
 Rehoboth Beach, Delaware

The 1984 annual Middle Atlantic Archaeological Conference will be held in Rehoboth Beach, Delaware, on April 13, 14, and 15, 1984. The conference and accommodations will be located at the Henlopen Hotel on the north end of the boardwalk. Parking is available in and around the hotel. Details on nearby eating and/or drinking establishments will be provided at the meeting. Room reservations should be made directly to the hotel using the enclosed form. The hotel will not accept reservations by telephone, so use the enclosed form and mail early. Ocean front rooms are in limited supply so register early if you are interested. The attached sketch map shows the location of the hotel in Rehoboth.

The conference registration fee is \$9.00 and advance registration is requested if at all possible. Please make checks payable to the Middle Atlantic Archaeological Conference. The registration fee covers all conference costs, coffee on Saturday and Sunday, room use fees, mailing costs, and a copy of the abstracts from the conference when available. It does not cover any proposed publication of the conference papers. To register, fill out the lower portion of this form and return, with your registration fee to:

Jay Custer
 Department of Anthropology
 University of Delaware
 Newark, DE 19716

Your Name: _____

Address: _____

1984 MAAC PRELIMINARY PROGRAM

Friday Afternoon - Historical Archaeology: Adaptation and Evolution on the American Frontier

The Friday afternoon session will be chaired by Dennis Pogue. There are still spots open on the program for this session and the following papers have been accepted.

Material Culture on the West Jersey Settlement Frontier, Robert W. Foss

Subsistence and Commodity in Colonial Pennsylvania: The Frontier and Market Economy, John P. McCarthy

Armor Usage on the 17th Century Chesapeake Frontier, Charles Fithian

Euro-Indian Trade and Trade Materials on the Chesapeake Frontier, Michael Smollek

Friday Night - Archaic Projectile Point Typologies

The Friday night session will start at 8:00 P.M. and will consist of a presentation of a paper entitled "Late ~~Woodland~~ ^{Archaic} Projectile Points in the Middle Atlantic: What's in a Name?" by June Evans, session chair. This paper will be circulated to several people before the conference and they will be asked to comment upon it. After the presentation of their comments, a general discussion will follow. For further information contact June Evans.

Saturday Morning - Trade and Exchange Systems in the Middle Atlantic Region

The following papers have been accepted for presentation by session chair Cara Wise. There is still room for more papers in this session.

The "Meta-" Rhyolite Connection: A Perspective on Prehistoric Trade and Exchange in the Middle Atlantic, R. Michael Stewart

A Contextual Analysis of Late Archaic Artifacts Manufactured from Non-Local Materials on the Delmarva Peninsula: Implications for Patterns of Trade and Exchange, Jay F. Custer

Ironstone Exchange Systems of the Upper Delmarva Peninsula, H. Henry Ward and Keith R. Doms

Trade and Warfare in Southern New England, B. Feder

Middle Woodland Lithic Technology: A Chapter in Deciphering a Unique Extractive Process and Production of Rhyolite "Fox Creek" Primary Quarry Bifaces, Jack Cresson

Discussants: Dan Mouer, William M. Gardner

Saturday Afternoon - Protohistoric and Contact Societies in the Middle Atlantic Region

The Saturday afternoon session is chaired by Stephen Potter and will consist of the papers listed below. This session is filled.

Protohistory and the Carolina Algonkians: Decimation and Acculturation, David S. Phelps

The Significance of the Beaver Trade on Anglo-Indian Relations in the Early Chesapeake, J. Frederick Fausz

Silver Trade Goods as Indicators of the Aculturation Process: The Earliest Documented Lenape Examples Prior to 1750, Marshall J. Becker

Where are the Indian Towns? Archaeology, Ethnohistory, and the

Manifestations of Contact on Maryland's Eastern Shore, Thomas E. Davidson, Richard Hughes, and Joseph McNamara

17th Century Apartheid: The Suppression and Containment of Indians in Tidwater Virginia, Martha McCartney

The Foley Farm Phase: A New Look at Monongahela, James T. Herbstritt

The Glass Bead Game and Other Red Herrings: The Search for Historic Patawomeke

(This session will be followed by a 20 minute discussion.)

Sunday Morning - General Session

The following papers have been accepted for the general session by the session chair, R. Michael Stewart.

Urban Waterfront Sites: Methods in the Mud, William Sandy

Potential of Materials Science Approaches in the Study of Virginia Ceramics: An Overview, Gordon Bronitsky

Evidence for a Late Woodland Migration from the Piedmont to the Tidewater in the Potomac Valley, Howard MacCord

Longhouses, Pit Houses, and Wigwams: Some Recent House Reconstructions and their Archaeological Implications, Errett Callahan

Sites and other Spatial Units of Analysis: An Archaeological Frontier, Francis P. McManamon

Use Wear, Projectile Point Morphology, and Activity Areas at the Hawthorn Site, 7NC-E-46, New Castle County, Delaware: Chronological Implications, David C. Bachman and Jay F. Custer

A LANDSAT-Generated Predictive Model for Prehistoric Archaeological Sites in Delaware's Coastal Plain, Timothy Eveleigh

Diachronic Trends in Prehistoric Settlement in the Lower Patuxent Drainage, Maryland, Laurie C. Steponaitis

Council for Northeast Historical Archaeology

Call for Papers

The Council for Northeast Historical Archaeology will hold its annual meeting at the State University of New York at Binghamton on October 19-21, 1984.

Current research and site reports are welcomed on any subject of interest to historical archaeology in northeastern North America. Papers should not exceed 20 minutes in length and abstracts must be received by June 1, 1984. Selected papers will be published by CNEHA. Although the annual meeting will not have a theme, there will be a keynote session on Historical Archaeology and the Development of Capitalism.

A workshop on oral history will be held on the evening of October 19 at the Roberson Center. Everyone is invited to attend and participate. Inquiries regarding this workshop should be directed to Michelle Morrison, Roberson Center for the Arts and Sciences, 30 Front St., Binghamton, NY 13905, phone (607)772-0660.

The book table is being organized by Roselle Henn. If you wish to exhibit books, monographs, reports, etc., please contact Roselle at 187-14 91st St., Hollis, Queens, NY 11414.

The 1984 CNEHA annual meeting is being co-sponsored by the Department of Anthropology at the State University of New York at Binghamton and the Roberson Center for the Arts and Sciences. Located in south central New York State, Binghamton is within 6 hours driving distance of the major cities in the northeast. It is served by Rt. 17, I81 and I88. Buses serving Binghamton include Greyhound and Shortline. Binghamton is also served by several airlines which connect it with most cities in the Northeast.

If you wish to present a paper, please fill out the form below. Presentations are restricted to members of the Council for Northeast Historical Archaeology and invited guests of the Council. Send the completed form by June 1 to:

Randy McGuire, CNEHA Office
Department of Anthropology
State University of New York
Binghamton, New York 13901

NAME:

ADDRESS:

PHONE:

AFFILIATION (As you want it to appear on the Program):

TITLE OF PAPER:

BRIEF ABSTRACT (100-150 words):